



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKETT NO.
091554,602	7-14-2002	DEGERT	

EXAMINER	
KISHORE	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1615	9

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) G-S KISHORE (3) DV LA VERSANNE

(2) Mr Shultz. (4)

Date first review 6-13-02

Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. was not reached.

Claims discussed: Claims on record and the proposed claim.

Identification of prior art discussed: Prior art on record, CA patent in particular

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: DV Laversanne discussed the

similarities between claimed multilamellar vesicles and the classical multilamellar vesicles. However, since CA patent discloses the same product, but lacks the teachings of specific combination, stabilizer and the active agents, a suggestion was made to rerite the claims as product by process claims & and recite specific stabilizer - active agent combination. A determination will be made at that stage whether these changes will overcome the CA patent. Since the application is under final, any significant amendment will be filed.

(A full description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

may not be entered for

6 | 13 | o ✓

A composition for stabilization of an active agent,
comprising:

a plurality of multilamellar vesicles in the form of a regular stack of concentric bi-layers comprising at least one surfactant, said bi-layers extending from each vesicle core to periphery, and being separated by an interstitial liquid;

a first compound comprising said active agent encapsulated within said vesicles, said active agent being subject to chemical degredation; and

a second compound encapsulated within said vesicles, said second compound being an inhibitor of degredation of said active agent, and being present within said vesicles in an amount sufficient to inhibit degredation of said active agent.